

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/813,160	KHANUJA ET AL.	

  

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
JEANINE A. GOLDBERG	1634	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** after RCE

(1) JEANINE A. GOLDBERG. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Alan Townsley. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 7 May 2008

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

2-9

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The examiner called the applicant to discuss some amendment that would place the method claims in condition for allowance following rejoinder. The claims were amended to recite "at least" however the specification only supported "more than". The claims were also amended to more accurately reflect the teachings in the specification and the enablement therein.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Jeanine A Goldberg/  
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1634

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

